

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF GOMMARCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 980034.417C5 2519 Ronald J. Berenson 10/762,210 01/20/2004 **EXAMINER** 500 7590 06/28/2006 SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC LANKFORD JR, LEON B 701 FIFTH AVE PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT **SUITE 6300** SEATTLE, WA 98104-7092 1651

DATE MAILED: 06/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·e	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/762,210	BERENSON ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Leon Lankford	1651
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended/period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
Status	/	•	
1)  ズ	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 M</u>	larch 2006.	
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	<del></del>	
•—	Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims			
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>22 and 23</u> is/are pending in the application.		
*	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>22-23</u> is/are rejected.		
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	·
Applicati	on Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) (			

Applicant's arguments filed 3/6/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive to overcome all the rejections of record.

The *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112* have been overcome by applicant's amendments and arguments.

The double patenting rejections have been overcome by applicant's filing of terminal disclaimers.

Regarding the 102 rejection, while it is true that Creson generically teaches T-cells and not specifically regulatory T-cells, an anticipation rejection is still proper. The "genus" T-cell contains very few species one of which is regulatory T-cells. Given that, the generic "T-cell" disclosure in Cresson is sufficiently specific to anticipate applicant's claimed invention though it is drawn to a species of T-cells because one would at once envisage "regulatory T-cells" when reading Cresson's generic "T-cell."

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

<sup>(</sup>b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

Claims 22-23 and 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Creson et al(Journal of Virology 73(11) 1999).

Creson (whole article) teaches the activation and expansion of T-cells using ligands of anti-CD3 and anti-CD28. The reference anticipates the claim subject matter.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leon Lankford whose telephone number is 571-272-0917. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 7:30-6.

Application/Control Number: 10/762,210

Art Unit: 1651

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Wityshyn can be reached on 571-272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leon Lankford Primary Examiner Art Unit 1651